---- Original Message -----

From: <u>T F F PeaceTips</u>

To: TFF PeaceTips

Sent: Sunday, April 05, 2009 5:32 AM

Subject: G20, C5 and Reality Show for Real politicians

April 5, 2009 Lund, Sweden

Reality show for Real politicians? Part 1

Connecting the dots: G20 and C5

Within a few days, Europe is host to the G20 in London, NATO's 60th Anniversary in Strasbourg-Kehl and the Alliance of Civilizations in Istanbul. What shall we make out of these frantic travels, meetings and meticulously edited press conference sound bites by world leaders? Here is the first of two dissident analyses.

By Jan Oberg Dr.hc, peace and future researcher

Director of TFF, Transnational Foundation for Peace and Future Research www.transnational.org oberg@transnational.org +46 46 14 59 09

C5 - Five huge crises coming together

The world is facing five hugely complex crises simultaneously:

Economic (system breakdown).

Environmental (global warming +).

Cultural (intolerance, clash of religions, Western cultural dominance).

Political (democratic deficits everywhere, lack of hope and political interest in media and among young).

Security (drug-like, ever increasing military expenditures to satisfy the Military-Industrial-Media-Academic Complex, MIMAC yielding ever less human security).

Five crises coming together = C 5.

In this perspective, watching these leaders, Western ones in particular, deliver self-congratulatory speeches and answers to journalists on the outcomes of the G20 http://www.g20.org and the NATO Summits http://www.nato.int/cps/en/SID-182DE7E1-BCBC898B/natolive/news_52845.htm is almost unbearable.

Apart from the reflective, eloquent rhetorical performance of President Obama, there is little change we can believe in to be seen and heard anywhere. It¹s difficult to not notice:

- the fundamental absence of innovative, creative thinking, new theories and a holist understanding enabling the leaders themselves to see C 5 as one cluster happening at the same time on our common globe;
- a consistent wish to give a comforting ³we-are-in-charge² attitude to not worry citizens about how serious the C 5 actually is;
- a style of meeting which in its elitist Œhigh-level¹ luxury opulent meeting palaces, exquisite means, posh hotel rooms, limousines, Œsecurity¹ speaks volumes about the fact that they themselves do not intend to be role models on the road to a more just and balanced world.

It is hardly going to be better with the UN Alliance of Civilization Forum hosted by Turkey in Istanbul to open Sunday April 5. http://www.aocistanbul.org/default.en.mfa

It¹s the same cluster of Œhigh-level¹ people (implying that others are low- or lower-level) and they meet at the Ciragan Palace Kempinski Hotel where the hotel suites range from ¤ 950 to 5.000 a night.

Don't ever mention militarization and war - a major common cause of the C 5

In London the 19 countries decided various activities that ³constitute an additional \$1.1 trillion programme of support to restore credit, growth and jobs in the world economy. Together with the measures we have each taken nationally, this constitutes a global plan for recovery on an unprecedented scale.²

Journalists of the leading mainstream media lie flat on their power-loyal - stomachs to tell that *that* is a huge sum. However, consider this: The world¹s annual military expenditures in 2007 amounted to \$ 1340 billion and will likely in 2009 passing 1500 billion. The 2007 figure is, according to SIPRI, Stockholm International Peace Research Institute) no less than 45% more than the world spent in 1998 and it is growing, in real terms, 6 per cent per year!!

Do the same journalists ever tell you that *that* is a lot of money for weapons and war? Did one mainstream media report highlight for you that world leaders did not ³pledge² as much to solving humanity¹s economic crisis as they do planning how to kill each other¹s people! Did any politician connect the economic crisis dot with the militarization dot?

Defence budgets are research- and capital-intensive and yield much less employment and civilian products than comparable civilian investments. By reducing military expenditures, we would not only free billions of dollars for civilian development all over the globe but also much more effective dollars in terms of solving the global economic crisis? Journalist didn¹t connect those dots and thereby abdicated their critical, objective function. Or, you may say that they (un)consciously sided with power and the media that are owned by MIMAC and thus avoided risking their jobs.

G20 incomprehensibles and incantations - and dots never connected

Back to the G20 communique which then says:

³We are undertaking an unprecedented and concerted fiscal expansion, which will save or create millions of jobs which would otherwise have been destroyed, and that will, by the end of next year, amount to \$5 trillion, raise output by 4 per cent, and accelerate the transition to a green economy. We are committed to deliver the scale of sustained fiscal effort necessary to restore growth.²

How can jobs not yet created be *saved* from destruction? Just *how* did they arrive at \$ 5 trillion? Raise *which* output? What guarantees it will be a *green* economy and what do they imply by that?

Sorry for being so pedantic. But it reads more like a PR exercise that no one will be able to operationalize let alone check ³at the end of next year². Good question *could* have been asked at the press conference.

All the right words are in the communique: sustainability, market, prosperity is indivisible, human needs, and the needs of future generations tooŠcommitment, urgency, reach agreement, determination, pledge to do whatever is necessaryŠ

The all-is-possible, conflict-free world where the rich won't have to make any concessions

The communiqué makes it clear that the leaders wish to do *everything good* and that no two things they want to do will ever conflict with each other. This being so, the commitment to do something about another C 5 crisis, that of the environment, is mentioned as the last point. No wonder, because the leaders commit themselves repeatedly to things like ³reinvigorating world trade and investment is [as] essential for restoring global growth.²

The underlying assumption, always unchallenged, is that we are going to produce ourselves out of the capitalist crisis, create more material economic growth and simultaneously stop global warming. How many times can world leaders state the same contradictions and never see other lines between the dots than their own flight connections to the next crisis meeting?

³World trade growth has underpinned rising prosperity for half a century,² they state. They also state that they believe that ³prosperity is indivisible². How come, then, that there is a need for such huge programs to alleviate poverty and despair? How do people actually have the guts in the midst of a crisis caused also by unbelievable greed among politicians, corporate managers and finance managers to state that ³prosperity is indivisible²? Are they going to share their ¤ 5.000 Presidential Suites with the street kids of Burundi that I work with?

If all this was what they know should be done, how come they start out saying that ³We face the greatest challenge to the world economy in modern times; a crisis which has deepened since we last met, which affects the lives of women, men, and children in every country, and which all countries must join together to resolve. A global crisis requires a global solution.²

They last met in November 2008. They made the same type of incantations and firm pledges and urgent measures then. And only about 5 months later, *the crisis has deepened even further*. Well, this time it is really going to be different and we will see a much better world soon. Because ³a global crisis requires a global solution²?

Intellectual poverty: To solve the crisis, let's have more of what caused it. Forget the environment!

No, this crisis is one that has global consequences but has not been created globally; it is a systemic malfunctioning in the wealthiest economies that of the United States (among other things due to over-militarization, warfare and other squandering of resources financed by China) and the European Union.

Even if global in its consequences the crisis requires local action, real action, the synergy of which will change the global system. Lofty promises and low-level platitudes like ³a global crisis requires a global solution² is well, revealing of the intellectual poverty of the combined brainpower of world leaders and their economic advisors.

So the G20 meeting promises to be singularly inefficient because of the way it deals with one of the five crises not a trace of serious analysis of root causes, diagnosis - and because it lacks every serious consideration of the other four.

Indeed, the most likely, visible result of the G20 and the NATO summits will be a deteriorating situation on the C 5 as a total.

Media & Reality shows

But did mainstream media read the text, analyze and raise critical questions about these shows? No, they applauded it all and focused on performance of the main actors and on Ms. Obama¹s and Mme Bruni¹s fashion-trendsetting dresses.

And why not, it¹s after all a kind of Œhigh-level¹ *reality show for Real politicians*. A reality show ³portrays a modified and highly influenced form of reality, with participants put in exotic locations or abnormal situations, sometimes coached to act in certain ways by off-screen handlers, and with events on screen sometimes manipulated through editing and other post-production techniques.² (Wikipedia)

April 5, 2009

TFF
- for peace with passion

Send TFF PressInfos and PeaceBrowser to a friend of mine mailto:subscribe-TFFpressinfo@transnational.org

I don't want TFF PressInfo and PeaceBrowser mailto:unsubscribe-pressinfo@transnational.org

If e-mails from TFF end up in your spam/junk e-mail folder please whitelist them yourself or notify your ISP or spam filtering company regarding their mistake. Also, if you have a Hotmail, Yahoo or similar type of account, remember to adjust your settings to ensure that TFF e-mails do get through to you.

Hvis e-mails fra TFF havner i din spam/junk-boks, så benyt dine "indstillinger" til at sikre at de i stedet kommer til din in-boks eller gør din leverandør opmærksom på fejlen. Hvis du bruger Hotmail, Yahoo eller lignende, så gør de indstillinger, der sikrer at TFF's mails faktisk går igennem til dig.

TFF

Transnational Foundation for Peace and Future Research Transnationella Stiftelsen för Freds- och Framtidsforskning http://www.transnational.org Vegagatan 25 S - 224 57 Lund Sweden

Phone +46 46 14 59 09 Fax + 46 46 14 45 12 Email TFF@transnational.org Public not-for-profit charity Organisationsnummer 845001-4637

TFF Guide, News & Themes http://www.transnational.org/sitemap.htm